



Award Bid Session Minutes

No Frills 2014

Award: Outstanding Advocacy Initiative

Facilitator: Kat Roemer, ADBA

Minute Taker: Zack Sullivan, RCC-RR

Timer: Kenneth Hughes, SAACURH Director

Attendance

School	Voting Rights	Present
Arizona State University-Downtown	YES	no
Arizona State University-Polytechnic	YES	yes
Arizona State University-Tempe	YES	yes
Arizona State University-West	YES	no
Auraria Campus	Yes	yes
Boise State University	YES	yes
Brigham Young University	YES	yes
Colorado State University	YES	no
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University	YES	no
Fort Lewis College	NO	no
Montana State University-Bozeman	YES	no
New Mexico State University	YES	yes
Northern Arizona University	YES	yes
Sheridan College	Yes	yes
University of Arizona	YES	yes
University of Colorado Boulder	Yes	yes
University of Idaho	NO	no
University of New Mexico	YES	yes
University of Northern Colorado	YES	yes
University of Utah	YES	yes
University of Wyoming	YES	no
Utah State University	YES	yes
Weber State University	YES	yes
Westminster College	YES	yes
MSU-Billings	no	no
Total		

1. Bid #1: Campus Pantry, University of Arizona
 - a. Opening Statement (30 seconds):
 - i. University of Arizona - Campus Pantry
 1. The campus pantry of U of A deserves this award because it advocates for student and staff needs. Initiated through student and RA observation. Addresses food security on campus and country as a whole.
 - b. Question and Answer (3 minutes):
 - i. NMSU
 1. Where there any goals planned in beginning?
 - a. No, there was one, but it was achieved. A point system to pick up food because of low donation. donations have been rising, take as

much as you need what you need to hit groups.

- ii. USU
 - 1. How are you going to make sure this is long lasting?
 - a. Res. Life working with food pantry and other res. halls. TO promote within, group as a whole is always advocating to make sure what food security is. Keep getting name out there and grow, just started a year and a half ago and more and more people keep coming. Through surveys, incorporate the campus as a whole.
 - iii. ASU-P
 - 1. Yelid
 - iv. WSU
 - 1. What obstacles with admin?
 - a. Not too many obstacles, not sure where to house it/distribution center. Working toward getting the name out to collaborate more.
 - v. UNM
 - 1. Recurring challenging and overcome?
 - a. Getting enough food, overcome it by putting name out there and working with other campuses
 - i. Time: UNC second by WSU by 5min
 - vi. Westimminer
 - 1. Redundancy
 - vii. U of U
 - 1. working toward storing it?
 - a. working through that, to work with the union and other res halls. Make it work and housed to easily come out of the pantry.
 - viii. UNC
 - 1. Impact (pg 8) Bi weekly basis, monthly basis?
 - a. First opened it started, Feb 12, only two distributions. once a month for first year, spring 2013 started monthly and now it is bi-weekly. Over 500 different people in attendance. Constant support for it to be open bi-weekly.
 - ix. SC
 - 1. ANything to reach out to those students embarrassed or intimidated?
 - a. Advisor that is staff not student that they can go to. Everything is anonymous have to have a cat card though (Student ID). Don't take down other information. How to overcome insecurity
 - x. NAU
 - 1. Impact on students, reception from recipients?
 - a. Recipients really need it and really want it. Taken from an observation because residents were not eating enough. Other students support it that don't need it, want the issue to be overcome. City wants to advocate for it, people that don't need it are helping fellow residents and community members.
 - xi. cu
 - 1. Adaptation to campuses, outreach to passing program onto other campuses?
 - a. New program, not that much. Successful, but they are learning and growing from the experience. Reaching out to the community
 - i. Time: Exhaust speakers list
 - xii. WSU
 - 1. Issue of students exploiting program?
 - a. going off of honor system because it is anonymous we hope they are using the program for what it is there for.
- c. Pro-Con (5 minutes):

P: Impacted more than 500 students
C: Still lack of location after one year
P: Growth in program
C: No outreach yet
P: Involvement of other organization on campus
C:
P: Goals are clear and obtainable
C:
P: Addressed legitimate issue in community
C:
P:
C:
P:
C:
P:
C:
P:
C:
P:
C:
P:
C:

2. Discussion (10 minutes):

- a. NMSU
 - i. Agrees with point that there is still not a location after a year and is a problem. Like that they worked with other organizations.
- b. UNM
 - i. Fully supports the campus pantry and also added that all universities should replicate this idea.
- c. NAU
 - i. Campus pantry is a great initiative because same problem at NAU. Great candidate for NACURH
- d. UNC
 - i. Great program and has a lot of potential for other universities because of growth. It is protective of people and their privacy
- e. CU
 - i. This is a great program for a campus need and solving it. The bid for NACURH level is good.
- f.

3. Closing Statements (2 minutes each):

- a. The campus food pantry has truly grown a lot in the last year and a half and that is why it is a great candidate. Like CU said it is a great way to get outreach and make more people aware of it. The program has already reached a ton of success and has grown with distribution. Soon exist on other campuses.